**FHIM Terminology, March 7 2012**

Jay Lyle, Holly Miller, Ioana Singureanu, Galen Mulrooney, Sean Muir, Susan Matney, Terry Hines, Anand Shukla, Pam Banning, Bill Hess, Cindy Vinion, James Case, Lin Qiu, Neal Richards

**Agenda**

0. agenda review

1. PHIN VADS demo schedule

2. data elements for value sets

1. CDC offers the 22nd, 23rd, or the following week. Jay to send out Doodle poll.
2. Worked through line 40 of spreadsheet.
   1. Whether we need to record binding type: we’ll record binding methods a set needs to support. The actual binding depends on the data element, but we will have the elements and can document how we expect to use it (even though the modeling team will be recording the binding almost immediately).
   2. Requirements for both static and dynamic: sets we want control over vs. sets for which we want to delegate maintenance. See action item below, in process.
   3. Names now included for all suggested elements
   4. How versioning works: we anticipate a value set to have a single OID, and versions to have serial numbers that can be appended to the root OID. In this way, a version has its own OID, but the root can be referenced as well.

**Action items**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Who** | **Due** | **Status** |
| Update process diagram | Jay |  | Done |
| Define policy options for binding to FHIM-governed value sets vs. externally governed value sets | Jay & Rob | 3/14 | IP |
| Confirm PHIN VADS tools for VS copy & synch   * Demo being arranged | Jay | 4/1 | IP |
| Add IHE SPS to metadata list | Jay |  | Done |
| Send Doodle poll for PHIN VADS demo | Jay |  | Done |

**Open issues**

* Whether and how to represent relationships within value sets
* Intensional definition formalism